

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/032,309	Applicant(s) GOLDTHWAITE ET AL.	
	Examiner Le Nguyen	Art Unit 2174	

All Participants:

(1) Le Nguyen.

(2) Jerome Schaefer.

Date of Interview: 28 June 2006

Status of Application: RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION ENTERED

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:00 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-44

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant and examiner discussed an examiner's amendment wherein claims 2, 18 and 33 would be cancelled, claim 1 would be amended to incorporate claim 2, claim 17 would be amended to incorporate claim 18 and claim 32 would be amended to incorporate claim 33. Furthermore, dependencies of individual claims 3-19-31 and 34-44 would follow the respective dependencies of any cancelled claims..